Using the NRCS Web Pages to Get Soils Information

A Maryland FarmLINK Assistance Guide



Figure 1 : NRCS Soils Home Page

The NRCS is a branch of the United States Department of Agriculture. The NRCS website provides the nation's most comprehensive source for soils information with its posting of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, an effort of Federal and State agencies, universities, and professional societies to deliver science-based soil information. Included in the National Cooperative Soil Survey are digital soils maps, soil taxonomy, laboratory references, etc. which are used by academicians, scientists, engineers, developers and agronomists in their work.

Farmers and realtors may also find the soils information on the NRCS website to be very helpful in buying or selling land and in determining soil suitability for a variety of crops and other farm enterprises. NRCS has prepared a brochure <u>FTP://FTP-FC.SC.EGOV.USDA.GOV/NSSC/PUB/WSS_BROCHURE.PDF</u>which gives the basics for using the Web Soils Survey. You may want to print this out as well. It covers a wider range of resources than we cover in this guide.

The website uses basic Geographic Information System (GIS) tools and the brochure assumes that you have the skills or knowledge to use them. With our guide, we provide additional instruction for those who have may not have used these tools before. In the hope of making the process easier and faster, our goal is to help a beginner do just three tasks:

- create a soils map of a property,
- find out what the soils categories mean, and
- find soil suitability classes for farming.

Getting Started

To begin, either print out these instructions and left click on the following URL or right click on the URL and open a second tab so that you can have both the instruction and the websites on your screen.

HTTP://WEBSOILSURVEY.NRCS.USDA.GOV/APP/HOMEPAGE.HTM

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a compendium of resources for many fields of study. Farmers and realtors interested in creating a soils map of a property and learning what that information means, may want to begin with the page shown in Figure 2 – Web Soil Survey.





Creating A Soils Map

The first step in creating a soils map is to left click the mouse over the green "START WSS" button as shown in Figure 2. Users wishing to create a soils map for a property are now instructed to define an "Area of Interest (AOI)". There are a number of ways to define the AOI. For occasional users of the site, the best way might be to click on "Address". When you do so, address fields are opened. For this example, we have used a portion of Maryland's Jefferson Patterson Park and Museum property in Calvert County located at 10515 Mackall Road, St. Leonard, MD 20685. Fill in the address and then click "View" (see figure 3). By doing so, you are accessing the large WSS data base. If your internet connection is slow, this might take a few minutes.



Figure 3 : Defining Area of Interest



At this point, you might need to use the icons in the upper left of the map to zoom in (+), to zoom out (-), or use the hand iconto move the entire field or farm to the center of the screen. After you have clicked on an icon, the action is initiated when you move your cursor over the map and click the left side of the mouse. Again, if your internet connection is slow, it may take a minute or two for the new view to appear. Properly locating the AOI may be the longest part of the job. Once the entire field or farm is clearly visible on your computer screen, the next step is to create a boundary outline of the property. Click on the button where the red arrow is pointing in Figure 4. By using the cursor, you can create a boundary of the property of interest, which becomes your Area of Interest (AOI).



Figure 4 : Area of Interest Interactive Map

To begin, place your cursor at a corner of the property and click on the left side of your mouse. Then move your cursor to the next property corner or turn and left click the mouse. Continue to move the cursor around the outer boundary of the entire property, and left click at each turn. When you return to the original starting point, "double click" the left side of the mouse and a new image will appear (see figure 5).



Figure 5 : Area of Interest Boundary Defined

Next, click on the "Soil Map" tab and the map will appear on the right (see figure 6 below). On the left will be a breakdown of soil types, acreages, and percentage. Another useful resource is that by clicking on each soil type listed under "map unit name" in the Map Unit Legend, you can view a thorough description of each soil type (which you can print out).



Figure 6 : Soil Map

Learning About Land Capability Classifications

These digital maps are very helpful, as long as the boundary lines are accurately outlined. The map unit not only identifies the soils, it estimates the degree of slope of the soil profile and the percentage of that soil type over the entire AOI. Taking a look at the soils types in the map unit legend in the example in Figure 6, you will find "Dodon-Crosiadore complex" (DeA) soils listed at the top. If you are not a soils scientist, chances are you won't know the limitations of DeA soils. So the next step is to learn about the soils capability classifications.

To access this information, follow steps 1-4 outlined in Figure 7. A map and table is produced which lists the soils on the property along with soils classes. Soil classes range from 1 through 8, with Class 1 having the least limitations for field crops and Class 8 which has limitations that preclude commercial plant production. The map is shown in Figure 7 and the table 'summary by map unit' is shown in Figure 8.

The Land Capability Classifications (ratings) are often used to determine if a property can qualify for state and local land preservation programs. Soils classes 1 - 3 are often categorized as prime soils and many land preservation programs require a percentage of a farm to be prime soils to qualify.



Figure 7 : Steps to determine the Soils Capability Classifications

Your soils report can now be printed. First, click on "Printable Version" (see red arrow 5). Customize the subtitle of the report if you wish and click on "View". Then RIGHT CLICK on "printable Version" and then click on "Print" and follow the instructions. You may need to adjust the layout from 'portrait' to 'landscape' before hitting the print button.

| | - Carvert County, Maryland (MD009) | | | (4 |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Map unit symbol | Map unit name | Rating | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| DeA | Dodon-Crosiadore complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes | 2 | 13.4 | 40.7% |
| DwE | Downer-Woodstown complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes | 4 | 5.0 | 15.19 |
| MZA | Mispilion and Transquaking soils, 0 to 1 percent slopes, tidally flooded | 8 | 1.7 | 5.29 |
| PdB | Piccowaxen loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes | 3 | 5.4 | 16.39 |
| w | Water | | 0.6 | 1.99 |
| WdA | Woodstown sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes | 2 | 0.1 | 0.49 |
| WdB | Woodstown sandy loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes | 2 | 1.8 | 5.49 |
| WpD | Woodstown-Piccowaxen complex, 5 to 15 percent slopes | 4 | 2.6 | 7.91 |
| 28A | Zekiah and Issue sols, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded | 5 | 2.3 | 7.01 |
| Totals for Area of Interest | | | 32.9 | 100.0% |
| rouped according to their he solis do not include mu nikely major reclamation roodland, or for engineeri | Imitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are us yor and generally expensive landforming that would change projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for into g purposes. | ed for crops, and the way I slope, depth, or other char rpretations that show suits | hat require special management, they respond to management. I acteristics of the soils, nor do to bility and limitations of groups | t are excluded. The sols are The criteria used in grouping they include possible but of sols for rangeland, for |
| proped according to their the solis do not include mu inlikely major reclamation woodland, or for engineeri in the capability system, to capability classes, the bro | Imitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are us yor and generally expensive landforming that would change projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for into ng purposes. In the generally grouped at three levels-capability class, it adest oroups, are designated by the numbers 1 through it. | ed for crops, and the way I slope, depth, or other char rpretations that show suita ubclass, and unit. Only clar the numbers indicate prom | hat require special management. In here respond to management. I acteristics of the solar, nor do to bility and limitations of groups as and subclass are included in essively oreater limitations and | t are excluded. The sols are the criteria used in grouping they include possible but of sols for rangeland, for this data set. narrower choices for |
| rouged according to their he solis do not include mu- violating major reclamation, voodland, or for engineeri in the capability system, r capability classes, the bro ractical use. The classes | Imitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are us gor and generally expensive landforming that would change projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for inte ng purposes. In the generally grouped at three levels-capability class, is adest groups, are designated by the numbers 1 through 8, are defined as follows: | of for crops, and the way I slope, depth, or other char rpretations that show suita ubclass, and unit. Only clar The numbers indicate progr | hat require special management. They respond to management. To acteristics of the solar, nor do to bility and limitations of groups as and subclass are included in essively greater limitations and | t are excluded. The sols are free criteria used in grouping they include possible but of sols for rangeland, for this data set. narrower choices for |
| proped according to their he sols do not include my woodland, or for engineeri in the capability system, r Capability classes, the bro ractical use. The classes Class 1 sols have few limit | Imitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are us got and generally expensive landforming that would change projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for inte ing purposes. Holls are generally grouped at three levels-capability class, i adest groups, are designated by the numbers 1 through 8- are defined as follows: Lations that restrict their use. | ands to held cope, and the way I slope, depth, or other char rpretations that show suita ubclass, and unit. Only clar The numbers indicate progr | hat require special management. They respond to management. I actenistics of the soils, nor do t bility and limitations of groups is and subclass are included in essively greater limitations and | t are excluded. The sols are the criteria used in grouping they include possible but of sols for rangeland, for this data set. narrower choices for |
| rouped according to their he solis do not include mi nikely major reclamation voodand, or for engineeri n the capability system, t apability classes, the bro ractical use. The classes Jass 1 solis have few limi Jass 2 solis have moderat | Imitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are us yor and generally expensive landforming that would change projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for into a purposes. The set generally grouped at three levels-capability class, is adest groups, are designated by the numbers 1 through 8. are defined as follows: Lations that restrict their use. In initiations that reduce the choice of plants or that requir | e moderate conservation pr | not require special management. They respond to management. To activitize of the tools, nor do to bility and limitations of groups as and subclass are included in essively greater limitations and actices. | t are excluded. The sols are the criteria used in grouping they include possible but of sols for rangeland, for this data set. narrower choices for |
| proped according to the the solis do not include my violand, or for engineeri n the capability system, t Capability classes, the bro ractical use. The classes Dates 1 solis have few limit Dates 2 solis have moderat Dates 3 solis have severe 1 | Imitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are us yor and generally expensive landforming that would change projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for into ing purposes. The substitute of the substitute for into a dest groups, are designated by the numbers 1 through 8, are defined as follows: Lations that restrict their use. In limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require initiations that reduce the choice of plants or that require initiations that reduce the choice of plants or that require is | e moderate conservation practice | not require special management. They respond to management. To activitize of the tools, nor do to bility and limitations of groups at and subclass are included in essively greater limitations and actices. es, or both. | t are excluded. The sols are the criteria used in grouping they include possible but of sols for rangeland, for this data set. narrower choices for |
| rouged according to their he solis do not include mu- violisity major reclamation, voodland, or for engineeri in the capability system, t apability classes, the bro ractical use. The classes Jass 1 solis have few limi Jass 2 solis have moderat Jass 3 solis have severe l Jass 4 solis have very ser | Imitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are us yor and generally expensive landforming that would change projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for inte ng purposes. Tools are generally grouped at three levels-capability class, i adest groups, are designated by the numbers 1 through 8, are defined as follows: Lations that restrict their use. In limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require initiations that reduce the choice of plants or that require initiations that reduce the choice of plants or that require initiations that reduce the choice of plants or that require initiations that reduce the choice of plants or that require so are limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require so are limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require so are limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require so are limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require so are limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require so are limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require so are sold the choice of plants or that require sold the choice of plants or that requires so that reduce the choice of plants or that requires sold the choice of plants or | ands to need const. Crops of alope, depth, or other char inpretations that show suita ubclass, and unit. Only clar the numbers indicate progr is moderate conservation pr pecial conservation practic aire very careful manageme | not require special management. here respond to management. To acteristics of the solar, nor do to bility and limitations of groups as and subclass are included in essively greater limitations and actices. es, or both. nt, or both. | t are excluded. The sols are the criteria used in grouping they include possible but of sols for rangeland, for this data set. narrower choices for |
| proped according to the he solis do not include m visitely major reclamation voodland, or for engineeri in the capability system, t capability classes, the bro ractical use. The classes class 1 solis have few limi class 2 solis have moderat class 3 solis have severe l class 4 solis have severe l class 4 solis have severe l class 5 solis are subject to abitat. | Imitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are us yor and generally expensive landforming that would change projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for inte ng purposes. toils are generally grouped at three levels-capability class, i adest groups, are designated by the numbers 1 through 8, are defined as follows: tations that restrict their use. In limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require initiations that reduce the choice of plants or that require initiations that reduce the choice of plants or that require is vere limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require sittle or no erosion but have other limitations, impractical t | e moderate conservation practice and every careful manageme o remove, that restrict the | not require special management. Tactoristics of the solar, nor do to actoristics of the solar, nor do to bility and limitations of groups and subclass are included in essively greater limitations and actices. es, or both. nt, or both. r use mainly to pasture, rangel | t are excluded. The sols are the criteria used in grouping they include possible but of sols for rangeland, for this data set. narrower choices for and, forestland, or wildlife |
| rouged according to their he solis do not include mu- nikely major reclamation, roodiand, or for engineeri in the capability system, r apability classes, the bro ractical use. The classes Jass 1 solis have few limit Jass 2 solis have few limit Jass 3 solis have severe l Jass 4 solis have severe l Jass 5 solis are subject to abitat. Jass 6 solis have severe l | Imitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are us yor and generally expensive landforming that would change projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for inte ing purposes. The generally grouped at three levels-capability class, is adest groups, are designated by the numbers 1 through 8, are defined as follows: Lations that restrict their use. The limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require imitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require initiations that reduce the choice of plants or that require vere limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require to little or no erosion but have other limitations, impractical t imitations that make them generally unsuitable for cultivations. | e moderate conservation practice are very careful manageme o remove, that restrict the n and that restrict their up | not require special management. T actoristics of the solar, nor do to actoristics of the solar, nor do to bility and limitations of groups as and subclass are included in essively greater limitations and actices. es, or both. in use mainly to pasture, rangel e mainly to pasture, rangeland, | t are excluded. The sole are the criteria used in grouping they include possible but of sole for rangeland, for this data set. narrower choices for and, forestland, or wildlife forestland, or wildlife habita |
| proped according to the the sols do not include my visitely major reclamation, voodland, or for engineeri in the capability system, r Capability classes, the bro tractical use. The classes Class 1 sols have few limit Class 2 sols have moderat Class 3 sols have severe 1 Class 4 sols have severe 1 Class 4 sols have severe 1 Class 5 sols are subject to abitat. Class 6 sols have severe 1 Class 6 sols have severe 1 Class 7 sols have severe 1 Class 7 sols have severe 1 | Imitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are us yor and generally expensive landforming that would change projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for inte ing purposes. The generally grouped at three levels-capability class, is adest groups, are designated by the numbers 1 through 8, are defined as follows: Lations that restrict their use. The limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require initiations that reduce the choice of plants or that require initiations that reduce the choice of plants or that require is vere limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require to little or no erosion but have other limitations, impractical to mitations that make them unsuitable for cultivation vere limitations that make them unsuitable for cultivation and | e moderate conservation practice and the conservation pro- terior conservation pro- pecial conservation practice are very careful manageme o remove, that restrict their o and that restrict their us d that restrict their use ma | net require special management. T acteristics of the sole, nor do to acteristics of the sole, nor do to bility and limitations of groups as and subclass are included in essively greater limitations and actices. es, or both. int, or both. in use mainly to pasture, rangel e mainly to pasture, rangeland, inly to grazing, forestland, or w | t are excluded. The sols are the orbena used in grouping they include possible but of sols for rangeland, for this data set. narrower choices for and, forestland, or wildlife forestland, or wildlife habita idlife habitat. |

Figure 8 : Land Capability Classifications from the National Cooperative Soil Survey

Conclusion

Farmland soils are the key to the success of a farm and may be the key to the success of a farm sale or lease. Land owners, realtors, and those interested in buying or leasing are wise to know about the soils of a property which are available from the National Cooperative Soil Survey.